DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SALVATORE TORNABENE, Requester,

V.

No.: 4- ORA - 2022

BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT,
Respondent.

FINAL DETERMINATION

AND NOW, the Northampton County District Attorney's Office respectfully submits its Final Determination as to Salvatore Tornabene's ("Requester" or "Requester's") Right to Know Law request.

INTRODUCTION

On February 20, 2020, Requester submitted a request to Bethlehem Township Police Department ("Respondent") seeking "a copy of the body camera video footage, with the audio, of the 3 police officers that arrested [Requester] on October 6, 2018 ..." Attachment "A." On April 13, 2020, Respondent denied the request, because the requested video related to a "criminal investigation," *Id*.

On April 25, 2022, Requester renewed his request for the body camera video and audio footage, but this time directed it at the Northampton County District Attorney's Office Open Records Officer. Attachment "B." The Open Records Officer sought clarifying information from Requester, and did receive the same on May 17, 2022. *Id.* After review, the Open Records Officer denied Requester's Right to Know Law request, citing to Act 22, which required Requester to have

1

made his original request to Respondent "within 60 days of the date when the audio recording or video recording was made." *Id*.

On June 23, 2022, Requester appealed to the Northampton County District Attorney's Office Appeals Officer. Attachment "C," This matter is now before the Appeals Officer for the Northampton County District Attorney's Office for a Final Determination.

DISCUSSION

The Northampton County District Attorney's Office lacks jurisdiction to decide the instant appeal. Act 22 of 2017 provides that its provisions, and not the Right-To-Know-Law, "shall apply to any audio recording or video recording made by a law enforcement agency." 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 67A02(a). Under this statute, "if a law enforcement agency determines that an audio recording or video recording contains potential evidence in a criminal matter ... the law enforcement agency shall deny the request in writing." 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 67A04(a) (emphasis added). Section 67A06 instructs requesters seeking body camera footage to appeal any denial by a police department directly to the Court of Common Pleas with jurisdiction over the police department. 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 67A06.

In this case, Requester sought "a copy of the body camera video footage, with the audio, of the 3 police officers that arrested [him] on October 6, 2018 ..." Attachment "A." Thus, Act 22 of 2017 is the controlling law here. 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 67A02(a). On February 20, 2020, Requester submitted his request to the Respondent, which was timely denied in writing. Attachment "A." Requester then renewed his request to the Northampton County District Attorney's Office Open Records Officer, see Attachment "B," however, under Act 22, Requester should have appealed the decision of Respondent to the Northampton County Court of Common Pleas, because it is the only institution with the authority to decide the merits of Requester's position. 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 67A06.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S

CO

Accordingly, the Northampton County District Attorney's Office lacks jurisdiction to decide Requester's appeal.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Northampton County District Attorney's Office declines to rule on this appeal. Requester may file a petition for judicial review in the Northampton County Court of Common Pleas. 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 67A06.

Respectfully Submitted:

By: Katharie R. Kurnas, Assistant District Attorney

Northampton County District Attorney's Office 669 Washington Street, Easton, Pa 18042

Tel.: (610) 829-6630

Email: KKurnas@northamptoncounty.org

Appeals Officer

Date: July 22, 2022

cc:

Salvatore Tornabene P.O. Box 3772 Easton, Pa 18043 Email: intvservice@aol.com Requester

Lisa A. Pereira, Esq.
Broughal & DeVito, L.L.P
38 West Market Street
Bethlehem, Pa 18018
Tel.: (610) 865-3664
Email: lawyers@broughal-devito.com
Attorneys for Respondent,

Attachment "A"



Standard Right-to-Know Law Request Form

Good communication is vital in the RTKL process. Complete this form thoroughly and retain a copy; it is required should an appeal be necessary. You have 15 business days to appeal after a request is depict or depresed dealers.

Date of Request:	AGENCY NAME: Be	anienem rownsm	P Police De	epartment	····	(Attn: AORO)
PERSON MAKING	REQUEST:	submitted vi	a: 🗆 Email	U.S. Mail	□ Fax	🗓 In Persor
	SAUMTINE TOR	WINGWE Company	ifannlianki.			
Maning Address:_		0772				
City:	570×1 State: 04	Zin: 18043	Frail.		-	
Telephone:		Ra	a Billall:		-	
How do you prefer	to be contacted if the	agency has question	102 P			
n a 3	Salvatore Tornaben ideo footage with the ne on October 6 2018 fternoon, at my home 761 Chipman Road, PIES? Yes, electron Yes, printed	B at my residence. de located at: Bethlehem, PA 18	They arrests 045.	s that arreste ed me in the	d 458	3.NF
	equire payment or pre fees associated with	payment of fees. See this request will b	the <u>Official R</u> more than	J No <i>TKL Fee Sche</i> 1 III \$100 (or		
racking: DGP	TIEMS BELOW	V THIS LINE FOR A	GENCY USE	ONLY		
0-Day Ext.? 🗆 Yes	□ No (If Yes, Final Due			Response Dat		1
equest was: 🗆 Gra	nted 🏻 Partially Gran	nted & Denied 1210	enied Cost	to Reguester	* -	-
Appropriate third	parties notified and gi	iven an opportunity	to object to	the release of	request	nd sone is
OTE: In most cases	a completed RTKL req It the RTKL is available	unnet form to	7/2007-0000-000			Nov. 27, 2018
("Marinal la	margin I.				

Chimnal Investigation

Attachment "B"

TERENCE HOUCK DISTRICT ATTORNEY

COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Northampton County Government Center 669 Washington Street Easton, Pennsylvania 18042 (610) 829-6630- Main (610) 559-3035 - Fax

First Assistant D.A. RICHARD H. PEPPER

Chicf Deputy D.A.
WILLIAM M. BLAKE
REBECCA J. KULIK
PATRICIA F. MULQUEEN
TATUM WILSON

Deputy D.A.
LAURA M. ATTIEH
JAMES A. AUGUSTINE
JOSEPH D. LUPACKINO
EDWARD PENETAR
MICHAEL J. THOMPSON

Assistant D.A.
DAVID M. CERAUL
ALEC COLQUHOUN
JULIANNE DANCHAK
ADRIANNE DOLL
ROBERT DUMINIAK
AARON GALLOGLY
KATHARINE KURNAS
BRIDGET M. MURPHY
JAYDEN N. PETERS (J.D)
PATRICIA TURZYN

Salvatore Tornabene intvservice@aol.com P.O. Box 3772 Easton, PA 18043

RE: Right to Know Request

May 24, 2022

Please be advised that this office acknowledges receipt of your complete request for body cam footage as of May 17 2022. (This includes the partial request received on April 25 2022 and the supplemented additional necessary information received on May 17 2022). Act 22 of 2017, 42 Pa.C.S. §§ 67A02-67A03, removed audio and video recordings made by law enforcement agencies from access under the RTKL and created a separate, exclusive means of access. Pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S. § 67A05, a law enforcement agency that receives a request under section 67A03 has thirty days to identify in writing the basis for denying the request.

In that complete request, you requested the following information:

A copy of the body camera footage with the audio, of the 3 police officers (subsequently identified as Patrick J. Brehm, Leonard A. Shepulski Jr., and William S. Station) involved in the arrest of Salvatore Tornabene in the afternoon of October 6 2018 at Mr. Tornabene's residence located in 3731 Chipman Road, Bethlehem PA 18045. Veritication of a April 13 2020 RTK request made to Bethlehem Twp Police Department, and a copy of a RTK request dated 2/2/2020 seeking such footage, was also received by our office.

42 Pa. Stat. and Cons. Stat. Ann. § 67A05 (West)

To obtain such recordings, a requester must follow the procedures set forth in Act 22 and submit a written request to the open records officer for the law enforcement agency that possesses the record. 42 Pa.C.S. § 67A02. Further, appeals concerning such requests are to be filed "In the court of common pleas with jurisdiction," rather than to the OOR. 42 Pa.C.S. § 67A06.



The request must be denied if the audio recording or video recording, upon review, contains potential evidence in a criminal matter, information pertaining to an investigation or a matter in which a criminal charge has been filed, confidential information or victim information and the reasonable redaction of the audio or video recording would not

First Assistant D.A. RICHARD H. PEPPER

Chief Deputy D.A.
WILLIAM M. BLAKE
REBECCA J. KULIK
PATRICIA F. MULQUEEN
TATUM WILSON

Deputy D.A.
LAURA M. ATTIEH
JAMES A. AUGUSTINE
JOSEPH D. LUPACKINO
EDWARD PENETAR
MICHAEL J. THOMPSON

Assistant D.A.
DAVID M. CERAUL
ALEC COLQUHOUN
JULIANNE DANCHAK
ADRIANNE DOLL
ROBERT DUMINIAK
AARON GALLOGLY
KATHARINE KURNAS
BRIDGET M. MURPHY
JAYDEN N. PETERS (J.D)
PATRICIA TURZYN

safeguard potential evidence, information pertaining to an investigation, confidential information or victim information, 42 Pa. Stat. and Cons. Stat. Ann. § 67A04 (West)

Under the procedures set forth in that statute, the following requirements to requests for audio and video recordings made by law enforcement agencies apply:

- (1) An individual who requests an audio recording or video recording made by a law enforcement agency shall, within 60 days of the date when the audio recording or video recording was made, serve a written request to the individual who is designated as the open-records officer for the law enforcement agency under section 502 of the act of February 14, 2008 (P.L. 6, No. 3),1 known as the Right-to-Know Law. Service is effective upon receipt of the written request by the open-records officer from personal delivery or certified mail with proof of service.
- (2) The request under paragraph (1) shall specify with particularity the incident or event that is the subject of the audio recording or video recording, including the date, time and location of the incident or event.
- (3) The request shall include a statement describing the requester's relationship to the incident or event that is the subject of the audio or video recording.
- (4) If the incident or event that is the subject of the audio recording or video recording occurred inside a residence, the request shall identify each individual who was present at the time of the audio recording or video recording unless not known and not reasonably ascertainable.

42 Pa. Stat. and Cons. Stat. Ann. § 67A03 (West)(emphasis added)

Upon review of this request, a written RTK request would have had to have been sent within 60 days of October 6 2018, when the recording was made, in order to comply with the procedures set forth in Act 22. However, the information we have received indicated that, while a RTK request was indeed made to a law enforcement agency prior to being submitted to the DA's Office, that previous the RTK request was received over a year after that deadline. Accordingly, we must deny your RTK request.

You may appeal to the Northampton County Court of Common Pleas within thirty days (30) of the mailing date of this decision. See 65 P.S. § 67.1302(a), All parties must be served with notice of the appeal.

Sincerely,

Bridget Murphy | Open Records Officer

District Attorney's Office



Attachment "C"

Salvatore Tornabene P.O. Box 3772, Easton, Pa 18043

June 23, 2022

Katherine Kumas, Esq. Appeals Officer District Attorney's Office

Ms. Kumas, Esq.

I. Salvatore Tornabene, am requesting the body camera footage with audio from officers Patrick J. Brehm, Leonard A. Shepulski Jr., and William S. Station. The body camera video and audio footage is from my arrest on the date October 6, 2018 at my residence 3716 Chipman Rd Bethlehem, Pa 18045. I am appealing the denial I received for my Standard Right-to-Know Law Request.

Respectfully.

Salvatore Tornabene