



Under the RTKL, an affidavit may serve as sufficient evidentiary support for the nonexistence of records. See *Sherry v. Radnor Twp. Sch. Dist.*, 20 A.3d 515, 520-21 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2011); *Moore v. Office of Open Records*, 992 A.2d 907, 909 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2010). In the absence of any competent evidence that the Department acted in bad faith or that the record exists in the possession of the Department, “the averments in [the affidavit] should be accepted as true.” *McGowan v. Pa. Dep’t of Env’tl. Prot.*, 103 A.3d 374, 382-83 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2014) (citing *Office of the Governor v. Scolforo*, 65 A.3d 1095, 1103 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013)). Based on the evidence provided, the Department has met its burden of proving that the sentencing order and DC-300B court commitment do not exist in the Department’s possession, custody or control. Accordingly, the appeal is **denied**.

For the foregoing reasons, the Department is not required to take any further action. This Final Determination is binding on all parties. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, any party may appeal or petition for review to the Commonwealth Court. 65 P.S. § 67.1301(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond as per Section 1303 of the RTKL. However, as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party.<sup>2</sup> This Final Determination shall be placed on the website at: <http://openrecords.pa.gov>.

**FINAL DETERMINATION ISSUED AND MAILED: August 12, 2016**

*/s/ Charles Rees Brown*

---

Charles Rees Brown  
Chief Counsel

Sent to: Harold Keaton (AF 8339) SCI-Fayette;  
Chase Defelice, Esq. (via e-mail only);  
Andrew Filkosky (via e-mail only)

---

considerations that underlie the presumption of public trials. See *Commonwealth v. Fenstermaker*, 530 A.2d 414, 417-18 (Pa. 1987). The records sought, if they exist, may be requested from the issuing court.

<sup>2</sup> *Padgett v. Pa. State Police*, 73 A.3d 644, 648 n. 5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013).