MHS McMichael, Heiney & Sebastian, LLC

R. SAMUEL MCMICHAEL 610-932-4330 E-Mail-rsm@mhslawllo.com P. O. Box 296 208 East Locust Street Oxford, PA 19363-0296 610-932-3550 Fax 610-932-4322

RECEIVED

SEP 2 1 2009

OFFICE OF OPEN RECORDS

September 16, 2009

Terry Mutcher, Executive Director Office of Open Records Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street Harrisburg, PA 17120-0225

RE: Request for Advisory Opinion-Home Addresses

Dear Executive Director Mutcher:

I represent the Elk Township, Chester County Board of Supervisors. I am enclosing a copy of the Request for physical addresses of resident who have a pool permit that was issued in the last two months, and our response.

As you can see, the requestor asked the Township to provide the home addresses for physical address of residents who have a pool permit that was issued in the township in the last two months. Our response indicated that legal review is required, and the Township will provide the response within thirty days. No litigation is pending.

Your Advisory Opinion Regarding Home Addresses of Public Employees, covers the "addresses of public school employees and ...other public employees", citing the temporary injunction issued by the Commonwealth Court in <u>The Pennsylvania State</u> Education Association et al. v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 396 M.D. 2009.

On August 25, 2009, the Court issued an Order prohibiting the disclosure of home addresses of Employees' home addresses. Although the Order does not discuss the applicability to other addresses, the Opinion recognized other similar expectations of privacy. They referred to *Hartman v. Department of Conservation and Natural Resources*, 892 A. 2d 897, 905 (Pa.Cmlwth. 2006) in which this expectation related to registered snowmobile owners.

Our inquiry now requests your opinion as to whether or not this "expectation of privacy" extends to those individuals who apply for pool permits. This request is based upon the actual pending request for information.

While we understand there is no deadline for a response from your Office, we are faced with a response deadline. Please email a response to me, as Township Solicitor, at rsm47@epix.net and rsm2mhslawllc.com.

Thank you for your assistance.

Very truly yours,

R Samuel McMichael

RSM:mac

Enclosures

cc: Elk Township Board of Supervisors

STANDARD RIGHT-TO-KNOW REQUEST FORM
DATE REQUESTED 9/11/09
REQUEST SUBMITTED BY: E-MAIL U.S. MAIL FAX IN-PERSON (Circle one.)
NAME OF REQUESTOR: Paul Stein III.
STREET ADDRESS : 4729 Tollgate Road
(Required) Fax 267-293-0243
TELEPHONE (: email- eleganthenceby PS & Aol. Com)
RECORDS REQUESTED: If it is easier to email the "Provide as much specific detail as possible so the Township can identify the 60 th information. (Attach a separate sheet if necessary) Physical Address of Residents who have a pool permit that was issued in your township in the last 2 months. Please Fax, at your convierce. If you have any questions of need anything please. Email Me. Thank You in advance This easier Circle one.) If it is easier
Circle one.) To email the Circle one.)
Circle one.)

RIGHT TO KNOW OFFICER: Texti Kukoda

DATE RECEIVED BY THE TOWNSHIP: 9/14/09

TOWNSHIP FIVE (5)-DAY RESPONSE DUE: 9/31/09

**The Township may fill anonymous verbal or written requests. If the requestor wishes to pursue the relief and remedies provided for in the Right-To-Know Act, the request must be in writing. (Section 702.) Written requests need not include an explanation why information is sought or the intended use of the information unless otherwise required by law. (Section 703.)

ELK TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS P.O. BOX 153 LEWISVILLE, PA 19351

TELEPHO	ONE: 610-255-0634 FAX: 610-	<u> 233-0492</u>
09-048		
September 17,	7, 2009	
Paul C. Stein		
4729 Tollgate New Hope, P		
Dear Mr. Steir	alm	
	•	
Pennsylvania	hip is in receipt of your Standard Right-to-Know Request Fo in Right-to-Know law. The Township is reviewing the request bucks within five (5) business days as set forth in the Act for the reaso	t will not be able to
	The request for access requires redaction of a record in accorded 706.	ince with Section
	The request for access requires the retrieval of a record stored a location.	it a remote
	A timely response to the request for access cannot be accompli bona fide and specified staffing limitations.	shed due to
<u> </u>	A legal review is necessary to determine whether the record is to access under the Act.	a record subject
	The requester has not complied with the Township's Public Re regarding access to records.	cord Policy
	The requester refuses to pay applicable fees authorized by the	Act.
	The extent or nature of the request precludes a response within required time period.	the
requested info	hip expects to respond to your request by October 21, 2009. To formation will be .25 cents per page (either a single-sided copy or content. On behalf of the Township, I thank you for your cooperation.	he copy fee for the one side of a double-
Respectfully,	y ,	
Taeri	Kisk oda	

Terri Kukoda Open Records Officer

Elk Township
P.O. Box 153
Lewisville, PA 19351
610-255-0634



November 2, 2009

R. Samuel McMichael, Esq. McMichael, Heiney & Sebastian, LLC PO Box 296 208 East Locust Street Oxford, PA 19363-0296

Re: Request for Advisory Opinion

Dear Mr. McMichael:

Thank you for writing to the Office of Open Records ("OOR") with your request for an Advisory Opinion pursuant to the Right-to-Know Law, 65 P.S. §§67.101, et seq. ("RTKL"). I have attached a copy of your letter for your convenience.

You asked for the OOR's opinion as to whether or not the "expectation of privacy" referred to in Judge Friedman's Opinion in *Pennsylvania State Education Association et al. v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.* 396 M.D. 2009, extends to those non-public employee individuals who apply for pool permits.

The OOR has decided not to grant this request for an Advisory Opinion.

You have correctly stated, the OOR extended its compliance with Judge Friedman's July 28, 2009 Order prohibiting the disclosure of home addresses of public school employees to include all public employees until the Commonwealth Court lifts the preliminary injunction. This office has not included non-public employees in this voluntary, expanded compliance with the Order. We have, however, obtained whenever possible open-ended extensions from requesters seeking such records until such time as the Pennsylvania courts resolve this issue and clarify whether home addresses are in fact protected from government disclosure by the privacy guarantees contained in the Pennsylvania Constitution.

Thank you for your inquiry. We will reflect this response on the OOR website.

Respectfully

Executive Director