

FINAL DETERMINATION

DATE ISSUED AND MAILED: March 30, 2023

IN RE: *Shariff Butler v. Philadelphia Office of Judicial Records*, OOR Dkt. AP 2023-0673

Upon review of the appeals filed with the Office of Open Records (“OOR”) to the above-referenced docket numbers, it is determined that the appeals are **DISMISSED** because:

The OOR lacks jurisdiction. The Philadelphia Office of Judicial Records (“Office”) is a judicial agency. *Faulk v. Phila. Clerk of Courts*, 116 A.3d 1183 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2015). The OOR does not have jurisdiction over judicial agencies. 65 P.S. §§ 67.503(a)-(b). Case records can be requested from judicial records custodians pursuant to the Unified Judicial System’s Public Access Policy. More information can be found at <http://www.pacourts.us/public-records/public-records-policies>.

For this reason, the Office is not required to take any further action. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, you may appeal or petition for review with the Commonwealth Court. 65 P.S. § 67.1301(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond according to court rules as per 65 P.S. § 67.1303. However, as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party

Issued by:

/s/ *Joshua Young*

Deputy Chief Counsel
Joshua Young, Esq.

Sent to: Requester (via first class mail);
Philadelphia Office of Judicial Records (via email)