

FINAL DETERMINATION

DATE ISSUED AND MAILED: September 19, 2023

IN RE: *Amber Ambrose v. York County Judicial District*; OOR Dkt. AP 2023-2150

Upon review of the appeals filed with the Office of Open Records (“OOR”) to the above-referenced docket numbers, it is determined that the appeals are **DISMISSED** because:

The OOR lacks jurisdiction. York County Judicial District (“District”) is a judicial agency. *Faulk v. Phila. Clerk of Courts*, 116 A.3d 1183 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2015); *see also Scolforo v. York County Prothonotary*, 298 A.3d 193 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2023). The OOR does not have jurisdiction over judicial agencies. 65 P.S. §§ 67.503(a)-(b). Case records can be requested from judicial records custodians pursuant to the Unified Judicial System’s Public Access Policy. More information can be found at <http://www.pacourts.us/public-records/public-records>.

For this reason, the District is not required to take any further action. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, you may appeal or petition for review with the Commonwealth Court. 65 P.S. § 67.1301(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond according to court rules as per 65 P.S. § 67.1303. However, as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party

Issued by:

/s/ Joshua T. Young

Joshua T. Young, Esq.
Senior Deputy Chief Counsel

Sent to: Requester; Rule 509 Administrator, York County Judicial District