

FINAL DETERMINATION

DATE ISSUED AND MAILED: July 10, 2024

IN RE: *Sibela Fezo v. City of Philadelphia Bureau of Administrative Adjudication*;
OOR Dkt. AP 2024-1760

Upon review of the appeal filed with the Office of Open Records (“OOR”) to the above-referenced docket number, it is determined that the appeal is **DISMISSED** because:

The OOR lacks jurisdiction. The Requester purports to challenge a decision made by a Hearing Examiner for the City of Philadelphia Bureau of Administrative Adjudication (“Bureau”) related to a parking ticket. The OOR does not have jurisdiction to hear appeals of decisions rendered by the Bureau.¹ Therefore, the OOR does not have jurisdiction over this appeal.

For this reason, the Bureau is not required to take any further action. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, you may appeal or petition for review with the appropriate court of jurisdiction. 65 P.S. § 67.1301(a), 1302(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond according to court rules as per 65 P.S. § 67.1303. However, as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party.² All documents or communications following the issuance of this Final Determination shall be sent to oor-postfd@pa.gov. This Final Determination shall be placed on the website at: <http://openrecords.pa.gov>.

Issued by:

/s/ Joshua T. Young

SENIOR DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL
JOSHUA T. YOUNG, ESQ.

Sent to: Requester; City of Philadelphia Bureau of Administrative Adjudication (via first class mail)

¹ Notably, the Bureau’s Notice of Decision sets forth the methods through which the Requester could appeal the Bureau’s Decision. Appealing to the OOR was not among the options given to the Requester.

² *Padgett v. Pa. State Police*, 73 A.3d 644, 648 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013).