



# pennsylvania

OFFICE OF OPEN RECORDS

## FINAL DETERMINATION

**IN THE MATTER OF**

**BILLY BLUME,  
Requester**

**v.**

**ABINGTON TOWNSHIP,  
Respondent**

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**Docket No.: AP 2026-1796**

On April 23, 2026, Billy Blume (“Requester”) submitted a request (“Request”) to Abington Township (“Township”) pursuant to the Right-to-Know Law (“RTKL”), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.*, seeking records related to a named individual. On April 27, 2026, the Township denied the Request, stating that the records are exempt from disclosure under the RTKL’s criminal investigative records exemption, 65 P.S. § 67.708(b)(16), and are protected from disclosure by the Criminal History Record Information Act (“CHRIA”), *See* 18 Pa.C.S. §§ 9101 *et seq.* On May 5, 2026, the Requester appealed to the Office of Open Records (“OOR”).

The Township is a local law enforcement agency, and the Request seeks records concerning an alleged criminal matter in the possession of the Township. The OOR does not have jurisdiction to hear appeals related to criminal investigative records held by local law enforcement agencies. *See* 65 P.S. § 67.503(d)(2). Instead, appeals involving records alleged to be criminal investigative records held by a local law enforcement agency are to be heard by an appeals officer designated by the local district attorney. *See id.* Accordingly, the appeal is hereby transferred to

the Appeals Officer for the Montgomery County District Attorney's Office ("District Attorney's Office") to determine whether the record relates to a criminal investigation.<sup>1</sup> A copy of this final order and the appeal filed by the Requester will be sent to the Appeals Officer for the District Attorney's Office.

For the foregoing reasons, the Requester's appeal is **transferred** to the Appeals Officer for the District Attorney's Office. This Final Determination is binding on all parties. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, either party may appeal to the Montgomery County Court of Common Pleas. 65 P.S. § 67.1302(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond as per Section 1303 of the RTKL. However, as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party.<sup>2</sup> All documents or communications following the issuance of this Final Determination shall be sent to [oor-postfd@pa.gov](mailto:oor-postfd@pa.gov). This Final Determination shall be placed on the website at: <http://openrecords.pa.gov>.

**FINAL DETERMINATION ISSUED AND MAILED: May 8, 2026**

*/s/ Joshua T. Young*

---

SENIOR DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL  
JOSHUA T. YOUNG, ESQ.

Sent via E-File Portal to: Requester; Agency Open Records Officer; Sent via email to the Appeals Officer for the Montgomery County District Attorney's Office

---

<sup>1</sup> The Commonwealth Court has noted that the OOR has the authority to transfer an appeal to "where [a requester] should have initially appealed." See *Phila. Dist. Attorney's Office v. Williams*, 204 A.3d 1062, \*4 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2019) ("... [A]lthough the onus for appealing from an RTKL denial to the proper appeals officer is on the requester, the OOR did not violate the law or any procedure in redirecting the appeal in this case").

<sup>2</sup> *Padgett v. Pa. State Police*, 73 A.3d 644, 648 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013).