



FINAL DETERMINATION

DATE ISSUED AND MAILED: June 9, 2026

IN RE: *Clarence McDowell and Brad & Cyndi May v. Franklin Twp.*, OOR Dkt. AP 2026-1966

On April 28, 2026, Clarence McDowell, on behalf of Brad and Cyndi May, (collectively “Requester”) submitted a request (“Request”) to Franklin Township (“Township”) pursuant to the Right-to-Know Law (“RTKL”), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.* The Township did not respond within five business days of receiving the Request, and the Request was, therefore, deemed denied on May 5, 2026.¹ 65 P.S. § 67.901. On May 18, 2026, the Requester filed an appeal with the Office of Open Records (“OOR”).

Local agencies have the burden of proving that records are exempt from access. 65 P.S. § 67.708(a)(1). Here, the Township did not comply with the RTKL by timely responding to the Request, nor did the Township participate on appeal by submitting legal argument or evidence in support of withholding records. Accordingly, the Township did not meet its burden of proof under the RTKL. 65 P.S. § 67.305.

For this reason, the appeal is **granted**, the Township is required to provide the requested records to the Requester within thirty days, or, in the alternative, a sworn affidavit or a statement made under the penalty of perjury demonstrating that the records do not exist. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, either party may appeal or petition for review with the Carbon County Court of Common Pleas. 65 P.S. § 67.1302(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond according to court rules as per 65 P.S. § 67.1303, but as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party.² All documents or communications following the issuance of this Final Determination shall be sent to oor-postfd@pa.gov. This Final Determination shall be placed on the website at: <http://openrecords.pa.gov>.

Issued by:

/s/ Kelly C. Isenberg

KELLY C. ISENBERG, ESQ.
DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

Sent via OOR E-file Portal to: Clarence McDowell; Brenda Neeb, AORO

¹ On May 5, 2026, Attorney Thomas Nanovic acknowledged receipt of the Request and indicated that it had been forwarded to the Township Supervisors. However, the language did not include notification that the Township intended to invoke a thirty-day extension of time to respond to the Request pursuant to 65 P.S. § 67.902.

² *Padgett v. Pa. State Police*, 73 A.3d 644, 648 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013).