



# pennsylvania

OFFICE OF OPEN RECORDS

## FINAL DETERMINATION

<b>IN THE MATTER OF</b>	:
	:
<b>AARON SHOVER ,</b>	:
<b>Requester</b>	:
	:
<b>v.</b>	: <b>Docket No: AP 2026-2122</b>
	:
<b>BIG SPRING SCHOOL DISTRICT,</b>	:
<b>Respondent</b>	:

The Office of Open Records (“OOR”) received the above-captioned appeal under the Right-to-Know Law (“RTKL”), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.* For the following reasons, the appeal is dismissed.

On May 18, 2026, Aaron Shover (“Requester”) submitted a request (“Request”) to the Big Spring School District (“School District”) pursuant to the Right-to-Know Law (“RTKL”), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.*, seeking liability insurance records. As the Requester did not receive the School District’s response within five business days of the Request, the Request was deemed denied on May 26, 2026. *See* 65 P.S. § 67.901.<sup>1</sup>

On May 29, 2026, the Requester filed an appeal with the Office of Open Records (“OOR”) claiming that the Request was deemed denied. The OOR invited both parties to supplement the

---

<sup>1</sup> On May 27, 2026, the School District invoked an untimely thirty-day extension during which to respond, 65 P.S. § 67.902(b).

record and directed the School District to notify any third parties of their ability to participate in this appeal. 65 P.S. § 67.1101(c).

On June 9, 2026, the School District submitted an attestation, made subject to the penalties set forth in 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities) from Cristy Lentz, the School District’s Business Manager/Open Records Officer (“Lentz Attestation”), and on June 10, 2026, the School District uploaded a copy of a transmittal email containing a link to all responsive records. *See* Lentz Attestation, ¶¶ 7-9. This evidence demonstrates that the School District provided all records responsive to the Requester on June 10, 2026. On June 10, 2026 the Requester confirmed receipt of the records. Therefore, because the School District provided all responsive records to the Requester, the appeal is **dismissed as moot**. *See Chester Water Auth. v. Pa. Dep’t of Cmty. & Econ. Dev.*, 249 A.3d 1106, 1114 (Pa. 2021) (finding that a matter was settled by provision of records and, thus, “the controversy has been mooted”).

The file is now closed and no further action will be taken. This Final Determination is binding on the parties. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, either party may appeal to the Cumberland County Court of Common Pleas. 65 P.S. § 67.1302(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond according to court rules as per 65 P.S. § 67.1303, but as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party.<sup>1</sup> All documents or communications following the issuance of this Final Determination shall be sent to [oor-postfd@pa.gov](mailto:oor-postfd@pa.gov). This Final Determination shall be placed on the OOR website at: <http://openrecords.pa.gov>.

**FINAL DETERMINATION ISSUED AND MAILED: June 24 2026**

*/s/ Josh Macel*

---

APPEALS OFFICER  
JOSH MACEL

Sent *via* Appeals Portal to: Aaron Shover; Cristy Lentz (AORO); Thomas King, Esq.