



FINAL DETERMINATION

DATE ISSUED AND MAILED: July 9, 2026

IN RE: *Samuel Sulkosky v. City of Harrisburg*; OOR Dkt. AP 2026-2600

Upon review of the appeal filed with the Office of Open Records (“OOR”) to the above-referenced docket number, it is determined that the appeal is **DISMISSED** because:

The appeal is insufficient. The Request was granted by the City of Harrisburg (“City”) on June 12, 2026. The Requester has not identified any specific issue(s) with the City’s response for the OOR to address on appeal; therefore, the appeal is not sufficient under 65 P.S. § 67.1101(a)(1). The Requester is not prohibited from filing a new appeal pursuant to the requirements of 65 P.S. § 67.1101(a)(1). The OOR’s online appeal form can be accessed at <https://www.openrecords.pa.gov/Appeals/AppealForm.cfm>.

For this reason, the City is not required to take any further action. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, you may appeal or petition for review with the Dauphin County Court of Common Pleas. 65 P.S. § 67.1302(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond according to court rules as per 65 P.S. § 67.1303. However, as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party.¹ All documents or communications following the issuance of this Final Determination shall be sent to oor-postfd@pa.gov. This Final Determination shall be placed on the website at: <http://openrecords.pa.gov>.

Issued by:

/s/ *Joshua T. Young*

Senior Deputy Chief Counsel
Joshua T. Young, Esq.

Sent via OOR e-file portal to: Requester;
AORO, City of Harrisburg

¹ *Padgett v. Pa. State Police*, 73 A.3d 644, 648 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013).