



FINAL DETERMINATION

DATE ISSUED AND MAILED: August 28, 2026

IN RE: *Rollin Cook v. Westfall Municipal Authority*, OOR Dkt. AP 2026-3276

Upon review of the appeal filed with the Office of Open Records (“OOR”) to the above-referenced docket number, it is determined that the appeal is **DISMISSED**:

On August 12, 2026, the OOR issued an Order notifying Rollin Cook (“Requester”) that the appeal was deficient because it failed to include a complete copy of the Authority’s response. The OOR informed the Requester that the document was required to cure the deficiency and directed the Requester to file a complete copy of the Authority’s response by August 26, 2026, pursuant to 65 P.S. § 67.1303(b). However, to date, the Requester has failed to comply with the OOR’s Order and, without this document, the OOR lacks sufficient clarity to allow the OOR to adjudicate the matter.¹ Additionally, the OOR would be unable to present a complete record on appeal to an appellate court as required by Section 1303(b) of the RTKL.² As the Requester has failed to comply with the OOR’s Order, this matter is **dismissed**.

For this reason, the Authority is not required to take any further action. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, either party may appeal or petition for review with the Pike County Court of Common Pleas. 65 P.S. § 67.1302(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond according to court rules as per 65 P.S. § 67.1303, but as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party. All documents or communications following the issuance of this Final Determination shall be sent to oor-postfd@pa.gov. This Final Determination shall be placed on the website at: <http://openrecords.pa.gov>.³

Issued by:

/s/ Joshua T. Young

JOSHUA T. YOUNG, ESQ.
SENIOR DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

Sent via OOR e-File Portal to: Rollin Cook; Kaitlin Hildebrandt, AORO

¹ A response from the Westfall Township Board of Supervisors was included with the appeal documents; however, the language of the Request included in that final response does not match the records sought in the instant Request.

² While the Requester has failed to comply with the OOR’s Order by providing the response, on August 24, 2026, the Authority submitted a position statement and attestation from Kaitlin Hildebrandt, the Authority’s AORO, explaining that the Authority provided the Requester with all records responsive to the Request.

³ *Padgett v. Pa. State Police*, 73 A.3d 644, 648 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013).