



FINAL DETERMINATION

DATE ISSUED AND MAILED: September 17, 2026

IN RE: *Victor Perez v. Reading Parking Authority*, OOR Dkt. AP 2026-3568

Upon review of the appeal filed with the Office of Open Records (“OOR”) to the above-referenced docket number, it is determined that the appeal is **DISMISSED**:

On August 31, 2026, the OOR issued an Order notifying Victor Perez (“Requester”) that the appeal was deficient because it failed to include a complete copy of the Authority’s response. The OOR informed the Requester that the document was required to cure the deficiency and directed the Requester to file a complete copy of the Authority’s response by September 14, 2026, pursuant to 65 P.S. § 67.1303(b). However, to date, the Requester has failed to comply with the OOR’s Order and, without this document, the OOR lacks sufficient clarity to allow the OOR to adjudicate the matter.¹ Additionally, the OOR would be unable to present a complete record on appeal to an appellate court as required by Section 1303(b) of the RTKL. As the Requester has failed to comply with the OOR’s Order, this matter is **dismissed**.

For this reason, the Authority is not required to take any further action. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, either party may appeal or petition for review with the Berks County Court of Common Pleas. 65 P.S. § 67.1302(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond according to court rules as per 65 P.S. § 67.1303, but as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party. All documents or communications following the issuance of this Final Determination shall be sent to oor-postfd@pa.gov. This Final Determination shall be placed on the website at: <http://openrecords.pa.gov>.²

Issued by:

/s/ Joshua T. Young

JOSHUA T. YOUNG
SENIOR DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

Sent via E-File Portal to: Victor Perez; Mahlon J. Boyer, Esq., AORO

¹ The Requester’s appeal form alleges the Authority’s response was issued on August 21, 2026. However, on August 31, 2026, the Requester provided a copy of the City’s email dated July 17, 2026 with attachment transmitting an extension notice; therefore, the Requester did not satisfy the Deficiency Order.

² *Padgett v. Pa. State Police*, 73 A.3d 644, 648 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013).