



FINAL DETERMINATION

IN THE MATTER OF

**ARIADNY GUZMAN
MENDOZA,
Requester**

v.

**STROUD AREA REGIONAL
POLICE DEPARTMENT,
Respondent**

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Docket No: AP 2026-4024

The Office of Open Records (“OOR”) received the above-captioned appeal under the Right-to-Know Law (“RTKL”), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.* For the following reasons, the appeal is dismissed as moot.

On September 12, 2026, Ariadny Guzman Mendoza (“Requester”) submitted a request (“Request”) to the Stroud Area Regional Police Department (“Department”) pursuant to the Right-to-Know Law (“RTKL”), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.*, seeking an incident report. On September 14, 2026, the Department denied the Request, stating the records were exempt as criminal investigation reports, 65 P. S. § 67.708(b)(16), noncriminal investigation reports, 65 P.S. § 67.708(b)(17), and exempt under the Criminal History Record Information Act (“CHRIA”), 18 Pa. C.S. § 901 *et seq.* On September 21, 2026, the Requester appealed to the Office of Open Records (“OOR”).

On September 24, 2026, the Department submitted a statement made under the penalty of perjury from Chief Jennifer Lyon (“Lyon Attestation”). Chief Lyon stated that she communicated with the Requester and provided her with the requested criminal investigation documents due to the Requester needing them for immigration purposes. *See Lyons Attestation*. Therefore, because the Department provided all responsive records to the Requester, the appeal is **dismissed as moot**. *See Chester Water Auth. v. Pa. Dep’t of Cmty. & Econ. Dev.*, 249 A.3d 1106, 1114 (Pa. 2021) (finding that a matter was settled by provision of records and, thus, “the controversy has been mooted”).¹

The file is now closed and no further action will be taken. This Final Determination is binding on all parties. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, any party may appeal or petition for review to the Monroe County Court of Common Pleas. 65 P.S. § 67.1302(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond according to court rules as per 65 P.S. § 67.1303, but as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party.² All documents or communications following the issuance of this Final Determination shall be sent to oor-postfd@pa.gov. This Final Determination shall be placed on the website at: <http://openrecords.pa.gov>.

FINAL DETERMINATION ISSUED AND MAILED: October 14, 2026

/s/ Meryl M. Peterman

MERYL M. PETERMAN, ESQ.
APPEALS OFFICER

Sent via OOR E-file appeal portal to: Ariadny Guzman Mendoza
Chief Jennifer Lyon

¹ It is noted that as these are criminal investigation records, the OOR does not have jurisdiction. *See* 65 P.S. § 67.503(d)(2). However, as the records have been provided, it is appropriate to dismiss as moot.

² *Padgett v. Pa. State Police*, 73 A.3d 644, 648 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013).