RECEIVED

JANET and SCOTT BRUNERMER,) No. 2020-00779-CIVIL	SEP 0 3 2020
Petitioner/Plaintiff's,)	DEFICE OF OPEN RECORDS
vs.	MOTION TO COMPEL A	NSWER
APOLLO BOROUGH,)	
Respondent/Defendant.)	
) Filed on behalf of Plaintiffs/I	etitioners,
) JANET and SCOTT BRUNE	RMER
) Counsel of Record for this Pa	arty:
	Zachary N. Gordon PA ID No. 318808	
) DEL SOLE CAVANAUGH) 3 PPG Place, Suite 600) Pittsburgh, PA 15222) Tel: (412) 261-2393) Fax: (412) 261-2110	STROYD LLC

JANET and SCOTT BRUNERMER,) No. 2020-00779-CIVIL
Plaintiffs/Petitioners,)
. 186)
VS.)
).
APOLLO BOROUGH,	į
Defendant/Respondent.)

To: Scott J. Andreassi
Charlton Law
617 South Pike Road
Sarver, PA 16055
Counsel for Defendant/Respondent Apollo Borough

TAKE NOTICE that the attached Motion to Compel Answer will be duly presented to the Motions Judge in Motions Court on the second floor of the Armstrong County Courthouse, Kittanning, Pennsylvania, on Friday, September 4, 2020, at 8:30 A.M. The Motions Judge will be asked to sign an order granting the prayer for relief. You may appear and be heard at that time if you wish.

Zachary N. Gordon

P		
JANET and SCOTT BRUNERN	MER,) No. 2020-0077	9-CIVIL
Plaintiffs/Mo	ovants,)	
)	
vs.)	
APOLLO BOROUGH,))	
in obbo bollocoli,	ý	
Defendant/R	espondent.)	
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
-	(Civil Division Matter)	
I, the undersigned, hereby	certify that I caused a true and c	orrect copy of the forgoing
Motion to be served upon:		
Name of Person	Method of Service	Date of Service
Scott J. Andreassi	U.S. Mail to:	August 26, 2020
Counsel for Defendant/	Charlton Law	
Respondent Apollo Borough	617 South Pike Road	
	Sarver, PA 16055	
	Email to:	August 26, 2020
	scott@charltonlawyers.com	
	2	1
	> h S	
	Zachary N. G	ordon

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ARMSTRONG COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

JANET and SCOTT BRUNERMER,

Plaintiffs/Movants,

vs.

APOLLO BOROUGH,

Defendant/Respondent.

MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWER

AND NOW, comes the Plaintiffs/Petitioners, Janet and Scott Brunermer, by and through their attorneys, Zachary N. Gordon, Esquire and Del Sole Cavanaugh Stroyd, LLC, and respectfully request that this Court compel the Borough to answer the Complaint and provide other relief as requested herein:

- 1. Plaintiffs Janet and Scott Brunermer ("Requesters") submitted a Right-to-Know-Law ("RTKL") request to the Defendant, Apollo Borough ("Borough") on February 28, 2020.
- 2. After Requesters prevailed before the Office of Open Records ("OOR"), the Borough did not appeal the OOR's June 5, 2020 Final Determination at OOR Docket AP 2020-0642.
- 3. On July 13, 2020, Requesters then filed a Complaint in Mandamus with this Court alleging that the Borough had not complied with the disclosure required by the Final Determination.
- 4. On July 14, 2020, this Court agreed to treat the Complaint as a Petition and entered` an Order requiring the Borough to Answer within 20 days of service. (Exhibit 1)
- 5. The Borough accepted service through its solicitor, Scott J. Andreassi, Esquire on July 22, 2020. Mr. Andreassi executed an acceptance of service indicating he was authorized by

the Borough to accept service. The acceptance of service was docketed with this Court on July 29, 2020 (Exhibit 2).

- 6. On July 31, 2020, the Borough solicitor asked for an extension of time to file the Answer. Due to Requesters' attorney being on vacation, Requesters' attorney responded on August 10, 2020, where counsel for the parties agreed that the Borough would have until August 19, 2020 for the Borough to file their Answer. (Emails between counsel are attached as Exhibit 3).
- 7. On August 19, 2020, the Borough's solicitor emailed Requesters' counsel indicating the Borough would be filing the Answer that afternoon as agreed. (Exhibit 4).
- 8. Despite the representation from counsel that the Answer would be filed on August 19, 2020 as agreed, Requesters' counsel never received the Answer.
- 9. On August 25, 2020, Requesters' counsel checked this Court's docket, which revealed that despite the Borough's Solicitor's promise that the Answer would be filed on August 19, 2020, no Answer had been filed. (Docket report attached as Exhibit 5).
- 10. Requesters, therefore, request that this Court require the Borough to file its Answer within 7 days of the date of this Court's Order.
- 11. Pursuant to Rule 206.7, if there are disputed facts in the Borough's Answer the parties need time to take discovery before the October 20, 2020 hearing. If the Borough files an Answer on the eve of the hearing, Requesters will not have adequate time to pursue discovery.
- 12. Prompt disclosure of records is a fundamental purpose of the RTKL. <u>Levy v. Senate</u> of Pennsylvania, 618, 65 A.3d 361, 381 (Pa. 2013) ("The legislative intent for efficient resolution is justifiable given that the public's interest in government documents is often time dependent.")).
- 13. It would, therefore, be prejudicial to delay the hearing due to the Borough's non-compliance with this Court's deadlines.

14. Requesters ask that this Court require the Borough to file and serve its Answer within 7 days or that the Borough be deemed to have not filed an Answer pursuant to Rule 206.7(a) and therefore, "all averments of fact in the petition may be deemed admitted for the purposes of this subdivision and the court shall enter an appropriate order."

15. Requesters also seek counsel fees for having to present and prepare this Motion because of the Borough's non-compliance with this Court's July 14, 2020 Order and the parties' agreement for an extension of time. Requesters ask that the counsel fee claim be heard as part of the October 20, 2020 hearing.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter the attached Order.

DEL SOLE CAVANAUGH STROYD LLC

By:

Zachary N. Gordon PA ID No. 318808

3 PPG Place, Suite 600 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Phone: 412-261-2393

Counsel for Plaintiffs/Petitioners

Dated: August 26, 2020

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ARMSTRONG COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA JANET and SCOTT BRUNERMER, No. 2019-Plaintiffs/Petitioners, VS. APOLLO BOROUGH, Defendant/Respondent. ORDER OF COURT ON ISSUANCE OF A RULE TO SHOW CAUSE AND NOW, this 14th day of JUL , 2020, upon consideration of the foregoing Complaint in Mandamus, it is hereby ORDERED that: 1) A rule is issued upon the respondent to show cause why the Petitioners are not entitled to the relief requested; 2) The respondent shall file an Answer to the Petition within twenty 20 days of service upon the respondent; 3) The petition shall be decided under Pa. R.C.P. No. 206.7; 4) An evidentiary hearing on disputed issued of material fact shall be held on the day , 200 at 9:00 (a.m)/p.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the Armstrong County Courthouse in Kittanning, Pennsylvania; 5) Notice of the entry of this Order shall be provided to all parties by the Prothonotary. BY THE COURT: Prothonolary and Clerk of Courts Amstrong County, Pennsylvania

Paralet 1

3



JANET and SCOTT BRUNERMER,) No. 2020-00779-CIVIL
Petitioner/Plaintiffs,	
vs. APOLLO BOROUGH, Respondent/Defendant.	ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT IN MANDAMUS AND ORDER OF COURT
	Filed on behalf of Plaintiffs/Petitioners, JANET and SCOTT BRUNERMER Counsel of Record for this Party: Zachary N. Gordon PA ID No. 318808
) DEL SOLE CAVANAUGH STROYD LLC 3 PPG Place, Suite 600 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Tel: (412) 261-2393 Fax: (412) 261-2110





IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ARMSTRONG COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA JANET and SCOTT BRUNERMER, Plaintiffs/Petitioners, vs. APOLLO BOROUGH, Defendant/Respondent.

ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT IN MANDAMUS AND ORDER OF COURT

I hereby accept service of the Complaint in Mandamus and July 14, 2020 Order of Court in the above-captioned matter on behalf of Defendant, Apollo Borough, and certify that I am authorized to do so.

7

Scott J. Andreassi, Esquire

Charlton Law 617 S. Rike Road Sarver, PA 16055 724-540-1161

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ARMSTRONG COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA JANET and SCOTT BRUNERMER, Plaintiffs/Movants, vs. APOLLO BOROUGH, Defendant/Respondent.

<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u> (Civil Division Matter)

. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I caused a true and correct copy of the forgoing

Acceptance of Service to be served upon:

Name of Person	Method of Service	Date of Service
Scott J. Andreassi Counsel for Defendant/ Respondent Apollo Borough	U.S. Mail to: Charlton Law 617 South Pike Road Sarver, PA 16055	July 17, 2020 t July 27, 2020 Cw/folly executed document)

Zachary N. Gordon

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: Counsel for Plaintiffs/Petitioners
Signature: 3 h 3
Name: Zachary N. Gordon
Attorney No • 318808

Zachary Gordon

From:

Scott Andreassi <scott@charltonlawyers.com>

Sent:

Monday, August 10, 2020 9:58 AM

To:

Zachary Gordon

Subject:

RE: Complaint in Mandamus, No. 2020-0779-Civil

Zach,

Glad to hear that you were able to get away. I hope you had an enjoyable time with your family,

I appreciate the extension. I will work to have the search completed and the answer filed before that date. Once I have a better idea of where we are on the searches, I will give you a call.

Scott

Scott J. Andreassi, Esq. Charlton Law 617 S. Pike Road Sarver, PA 16055 724-540-1161 www.charltonlawyers.com



From: Zachary Gordon <zgordon@dscslaw.com>

Sent: Monday, August 10, 2020 9:44 AM

To: Scott Andreassi <scott@charltonlawyers.com>

Subject: RE: Complaint in Mandamus, No. 2020-0779-Civil

Scott,

I apologize for the delay in responding to you. I took a vacation staying in a cabin with my family in a state park, and unfortunately the service there was virtually non-existent. As a house-keeping matter, now that this matter is no longer before the OOR, I do not believe it is appropriate to copy the appeals officer on all communications (I do believe the OOR is entitled to courtesy copies of filings, however).

As for your request for an extension, my clients are agreeable to giving you until <u>August 19</u>. We would also greatly appreciate appropriate affidavits or sworn statements from those searching the email accounts. Since this case arises from a February 28th Request, I hope you understand my clients desire to have the case proceed on a prompt timeline.

I am always open to trying to resolve matters amicably. I am back in the office this week, so please feel free to give me a call or email me with the details of what the Borough had in mind so that I can discuss it with my clients.

Sincerely,



Zach

From: Scott Andreassi <scott@charitonlawyers.com>

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 3:13 PM

To: Zachary Gordon < zgordon@dscslaw.com>

Cc: Secretary, Borough <boroughmanager@apollopa.org>; sloppysoap@windstream.net; Burlew, Erin

<eburlew@pa.gov>

Subject: Complaint in Mandamus, No. 2020-0779-Civil

Zach,

I have requested that the Borough to conduct a search of the email accounts you have listed in paragraph 62 of the complaint for any responsive records. I do not anticipate that this will be complete by the deadline to file the Borough's response. Would you agree to extend the deadline for filing the response in order to complete a search of the email accounts listed? In addition, I would request the opportunity to speak with you with regard to resolving the issues contained in the complaint to determine if a resolution is possible

I look forward to your response and thank you, as always, for your consideration.

Scott

Scott J. Andreassi, Esq.
Charlton Law
617 S. Pike Road
Sarver, PA 16055
724-540-1161
www.charltonlawyers.com



Zachary Gordon

From:

Scott Andreassi <scott@charltonlawyers.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, August 19, 2020 3:35 PM

To:

Zachary Gordon

Cc:

Apollo Borough Manager

Subject:

2020-0779

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

Good Afternoon Zach,

I believe the follow up email searches have been completed. Per our agreement, I will be filing the answer to the complaint this afternoon along with the exhibits containing the additional emails. I am awaiting some affidavits, and will provide them to you and file the same as soon as they are received. If anything else is discovered, I will contact you. When you've had the chance to review the exhibits, perhaps we can talk further.

Scott

Scott J. Andreassi, Esq. Charlton Law 617 S. Pike Road Sarver, PA 16055 724-540-1161 www.charltonlawyers.com





11153808252 PYSPRT	020	Armstrong County Pro Civil Case Detail	thonotary L Report	Page 1 8/25/2020
Case No	2020	-00779 JANET BRUNERMER A	AL (VS) APOLLO BORO	DUGH
Judgment Judge Assign Disposed De	ned	ELLANEOUS - MANDAM \$.00	Time Exec Jury Disp Higi	ed
******	********	*********	*****	******
		++ GENERAL I		Control State
Indexed Par BRUNERMER J. APOLLO, P.	ANET	PLAINTIFF	3 PPG	
BRUNERMER SO APOLLO, PA		PLAINTIFF	3 PPG	ACHARY N LE CAVANAUGH STROYD LLC PLACE, SUITE 600 URGH, PA 15222
APOLLO BORO		DEFENDANT		
********	********	************		********
Data	Patrus Mout	++ DOCKET ENT	RIES ++	
Date	Entry Text	FIRST EN	TRY	
7/13/2020	COMPLAINT PLAINTIFFS GORDON, ES EVIDENTIAR	IN MANDAMUS FILED BY ZACE . THREE CERTIFIED COPIES Q ON 07/14/20 AT 3:45 PM Y HEARING SHALL BE HELD O NO. 2. S/JAMES J. PANCHIE	HARY GORDON, ESQ ON OF ORDER MAILED TO ORDER DATED JULY ON OCTOBER 20, 2020	ZACHARY 14, 2020;
7/29/2020	DATED JULY HARY N. GO	OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT 22, 2020 SIGNED BY SCOTT RDON, ESQ. ON BEHALF OF I	r J. ANDREASSI, ESÇ PLAINTIFFS.	DER OF COURT D. FILED BY ZAC
******	*****	*******		******
		++ Escrow Info		
Cost / Fee	**	Beg. Balance	Pymts/Adjmts	End. Balance
TAX ON CMPLA		\$.50 \$40.25	\$.50 \$40.25	\$.00
AUTOMATION		\$5.00	\$5.00	\$.00
COMPLAINT F		\$99.50	\$99.50	\$.00
	-:			**********
		\$145.25	\$145.25	\$.00



VERIFICATION

Plaintiff verifies that the foregoing Motion is based upon information which she has furnished to her counsel and information which has been gathered by her counsel. The language of the Motion is that of counsel and not of Plaintiff. Plaintiff has read the Motion and to the extent that the Motion is based upon information which she has given to her counsel, it is true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief. To the extent that the content of the Motion is that of counsel, she has relied upon counsel in making this verification. Plaintiff understands that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Janet & Brunermen

Date Date

VERIFICATION

Plaintiff verifies that the foregoing Motion is based upon information which he has furnished to his counsel and information which has been gathered by his counsel. The language of the Motion is that of counsel and not of Plaintiff. Plaintiff has read the Motion and to the extent that the Motion is based upon information which he has given to his counsel, it is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief. To the extent that the content of the Motion is that of counsel, he has relied upon counsel in making this verification. Plaintiff understands that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Scott Brunermer

dig. 26 2020

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the*Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

or Plaintiffs/Petitioners
١

Signature:

Name: Zachary N. Gordon

Attorney No.: 318808

	•	
IN THE C	OURT OF COMMON PLEAS	S OF ARMSTRONG COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
	SCOTT BRUNERMER,) No. 2020-00779-CIVIL
	Plaintiffs/Movants,))
vs.		
APOLLO E	BOROUGH,)
•	Defendant/Respondent	t.
	ORD	ER OF COURT
AND	NOW, this day of	, 2020, upon consideration of Plaintiffs'
	•	ptioned cases, it is hereby, ORDERED, ADJUDGED,
and DECRE	ED the Plaintiffs' Motion is G	RANTED as follows:
1. A	pollo Borough shall file its An	swer to the Complaint within 7 (seven) days of the date
oi	f this Order. If no Answer is fi	iled and served on Plaintiffs in the time directed, then
th	e Borough shall be deemed to	not have filed an Answer pursuant to Rule 206.7(a),
aı	nd all averments of facts in the	Complaint will be deemed admitted.
2. T	he Plaintiffs' request for coun	sel fees for the Borough's non-compliance with this
· C	ourt's July 14, 2020 Order is h	nereby consolidated for resolution with the hearing on
0	ctober 20, 2020.	
. •		
•		BY THE COURT:
	, e	, J.
٠.		
	,	
	·	
•		